



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>A61L 2/18, C11D 3/48, 3/39</b>		A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 96/20737</b>
			(43) International Publication Date: <b>11 July 1996 (11.07.96)</b>
(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/CA95/00731</b>		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(22) International Filing Date: <b>29 December 1995 (29.12.95)</b>		Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(30) Priority Data: <b>08/367,009 30 December 1994 (30.12.94) US</b>			
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): <b>UNIVERSITE DE MONTREAL [CA/CA]; 2900 Boulevard Edouard-Montpetit, Montreal, Quebec H3T 1J4 (CA)</b>			
(72) Inventors; and			
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): <b>PREVOST, André [CA/CA]; 4541 Rosedale, Montreal, Quebec H4B 2H1 (CA). BARBEAU, Jean [CA/CA]; 4582 Euclide Brien, Montreal, Quebec H1X 3H4 (CA). COTE, Ludger [CA/CA]; 451 St. Jean, Matane, Quebec G4W 2H5 (CA). CHARLAND, Robert [CA/CA]; 1072 de Randonnai, Boucherville, Quebec J4B 2S9 (CA). FAUCHER, Esther [CA/CA]; Apartment 312, 3272 de la Pépinière, Montreal, Quebec H1N 3N4 (CA).</b>			
(74) Agents: <b>DUBUC, Jean et al.; Goudreau Gage Dubuc &amp; Martineau Walker, 800 The Stock Exchange Tower, Victoria Square, P.O. Box 242, Montreal, Quebec H4Z 1E9 (CA).</b>			
(54) Title: <b>SYNERGISTIC DETERGENT AND DISINFECTANT COMBINATIONS FOR DECONTAMINATING BIOFILM-COATED SURFACES</b>			
(57) Abstract			
<p>Disclosed herein is a synergistic cleaning and disinfecting composition for use in decontaminating biofilm-coated surfaces like fresh water lines providing water supply to dental instruments, these lines being susceptible to contamination by microorganisms and being susceptible to the formation of biofilm coatings on their inner walls, the composition comprising an effective amount of a detergent, an effective amount of a denaturing agent attacking the extracellular matrix formed between microorganisms and between microorganisms and the inner walls of these water lines and an effective amount of a bactericide for destroying the microorganisms.</p>			

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

TITLESYNERGISTIC DETERGENT AND DISINFECTANT COMBINATIONS  
FOR DECONTAMINATING BIOFILM-COATED SURFACES

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION1. Field of the invention:

The present invention relates to novel synergistic detergent and disinfectant combinations and to an improved method for effectively decontaminating biofilm-coated surfaces. Types of surfaces comprise: the inner surface of aqueous liquid-supplying lines, particularly fresh water lines such as those supplying water to dental instruments regularly used by dentists, dental surgeons or dental hygienists, the inner surface of lines of larger diameters and the inner surface of containers having received aqueous liquids for a sufficient length of time to have allowed growth of microorganisms, their deposition and their organization as a biofilm to adhere to the walls of the containers. More particularly, the present invention relates to detergent-disinfectant combinations for dislodging biofilm formed or accumulated on contaminated surfaces for destroying the microorganisms contained therein. The preferred compositions are particularly suitable for water pipes of dental instruments which are of a small diameter, because no scrubbing is needed for maximal efficiency in a convenient time of decontamination.

30

2. Brief description of the prior art:

Dentists, dental surgeons and dental hygienists and their patients are well aware of the importance of meticulously sterilizing and disinfecting dental instruments. Indeed, since dental

instruments are used directly in a patient's mouth, when bleeding may sometimes occur as a result of a dental procedure, it is of paramount importance to minimize the presence of microorganisms carried by 5 dental instruments. The microorganisms can of course range from relatively harmless bacteria to dangerous pathogens. Consequently, efforts are deployed to remove microorganisms from dental instruments and from the fresh water lines feeding dental instruments such 10 as air/water guns, high speed water turbines or ultrasonic tartar removers. For most hand held dental instruments, thermal sterilization remains one of the best methods for eradicating the presence of microorganisms. However, thermal sterilization is 15 obviously not practical for the decontaminating of fresh water lines which remain to this date difficult to rid of microorganisms.

It is well known in the dental profession that small diameter pipes carrying fresh water are 20 contaminated by bacteria and other microorganisms contained in the water flowing through them. Some of the microorganisms inevitably adhere to the inner walls of the pipes and accumulate together with microscopic sediments or other substances into what is 25 commonly known as a biofilm. The biofilm quickly and tenaciously coats the inner walls of the pipes. The biofilm becomes a culture medium for more microorganisms. For example bacteria population will rapidly reach alarming levels which will also be found 30 in the water discharge from the dental instruments connected to the fresh water line. For example, the average bacteria count in the water discharge of dental instruments is known to be of approximately 200,000 colony forming units per milliliter (cfu/ml) 35 and in some extreme cases can reach 10,000,000 cfu/ml.

It has been suggested to use sterile water, to drain the fresh water lines during periods of non-use or to use filters to catch the microorganisms. However, none of those methods have been shown to effectively remedy the microorganism proliferation for any length of time.

It is also known in the art to use disinfectants such as povidone-iodine at a concentration of approximately 10% to reduce the number of microorganisms in small diameter water lines. It is further also known that a mixture of mandelic and lactic acids reduce the number of sensitive microorganisms in contaminated catheters. However, such disinfection is somewhat superficial since it fails to effectively attack and destroy the microorganisms found in the biofilm. Consequently, the disinfection effect is short-lived. After 24 hours of treatment with povidone-iodine, the number of bacteria is greatly reduced but quickly begin to rise after eight days.

It is also known to use a detergent such as polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate (Tween 80<sup>™</sup>) at approximately 4% concentration to dislodge biofilm from small diameter water lines used in dental equipment. However, the use of detergent alone does not effectively destroy the microorganism population.

Accordingly there remains a need for a composition for decontaminating small diameter water lines for dental equipment which will effectively dislodge and eliminate a biofilm and at the same time destroy the microorganism flora in the fresh water and in the dislodged biofilm.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention provides a synergistic cleaning and disinfecting composition for use in decontaminating biofilm-coated surfaces like the fresh water lines providing water supply to dental instruments, these lines being susceptible to contamination by microorganisms and being susceptible to the formation of biofilm coatings on their inner walls, the composition comprising an effective amount of a detergent and of a denaturing agent affecting the integrity of the proteins and of mucopolysaccharides composing the biofilm for dislodging biofilm accumulation on the inner walls of the lines and an effective amount of a bactericide for destroying the microorganisms within the weakened biofilm or retrieved in suspension.

In the preferred embodiment, the detergent is sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). This detergent is the prototype of a class of detergents having denaturant as well as detergent action, so that the addition of a denaturing agent is not necessary and the bactericide is either an acid like lactic and mandelic acids or a potent oxidant like hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) and hydrogen peroxide-stabilized peracetic acid (PAA), the oxidant being combined with a chelating agent like ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA), or a mixture of both an acid and an oxidant/chelating agent.

It should be understood that the detergent component entering the compositions of the present invention is not limited to SDS or SDS-like detergents. Any detergent able to decrease the surface tension of a biofilm and having a denaturant action or combined with a compound having a denaturant action on components of the biofilm e.g. proteins and

mucopolysaccharides, notwithstanding the classification of the detergent as a cationic, anionic or nonionic detergent, is under the scope of this invention.

5                   The invention also provides an improved method for cleaning and disinfecting biofilm-coated surfaces like the fresh water lines providing water supply to dental instruments, the water lines being susceptible to contamination by microorganisms and 10                   being susceptible to the formation of biofilm coatings on their inner walls, the steps of:

- a) draining the water lines;
- b) filling the water lines with the decontaminating solution defined in this invention for destroying the 15                   microorganisms retrieved in the weakened biofilm or in suspension;
- c) leaving the solution in the water lines at ambient temperature for a period of six hours or more;
- d) draining the water lines; and
- 20                   e) rinsing the water lines.

Of course, if it impossible or non-convenient to drain the water lines, a part of the liquid may be withdrawn and a suitably concentrated composition may be added to bring the proportions of 25                   the active ingredients in final appropriate concentrations. Moreover, if flat surfaces are to be decontaminated, the draining step may be omitted and the composition be spread on the surfaces, in conditions such that the composition will retain its 30                   decontaminating activity (for example, by avoiding dehydration). Other features and advantages of the invention will become apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art upon review of the following detailed description and claims.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Surprisingly, the inventors have found synergistic compositions for decontaminating microorganism-contaminated water lines having a biofilm coating the inner walls thereof which comprises the following combinations of ingredients:

5 1) A suitable detergent for reducing the surface tension of the biofilm;

10 2) A denaturing agent for affecting the integrity of the proteins and of the mucopolysaccharides, which are bacterial cell components or constituents of the extra-cellular matrix (the detergent and denaturing functions are both assigned to a detergent like SDS which has been successfully tried at a 1 to 2%

15 concentration in distilled water); and

3) A suitable bactericidal component composed of one or more bactericides having a wide spectrum. Bactericides like lactic and/or mandelic acids or of a class of potent oxidants like hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) and/or

20 hydrogen peroxide-stabilized peracetic acid (PAA) or a mixture of both an oxidant and an acid have been successfully tried. In the case wherein an oxidant is used, the combination denaturing detergent/oxidant needs complementation with a chelating agent like

25 ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA), to achieve maximal efficacy.

Those skilled in the art will readily understand and easily conceive other equivalent synergistic compositions containing effective amounts of other suitable denaturants/detergents or other suitable bactericides dissolved in a suitable carrier.

30 35 In a preferred embodiment, it has been found that the combination of a denaturing detergent like sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and of a bactericide like either an acid like lactic and/or mandelic acids

or a potent oxidant like hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) and/or hydrogen peroxide-stabilized peracetic acid (PAA) (the oxidant being further complemented with a chelating agent like ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA)) has been found advantageous.

5 A mixture of the following products has been found the most advantageous since its contains:

10 - mandelic acid 1%,  
- lactic acid 1%,  
- hydrogen peroxide 5%,  
- SDS 1 - 2%, and  
- EDTA 1%.

This solution may further contain PAA 1% and cetylpyridinium chloride 0.1%.

15 Even though all these combined elements are very efficient in decontaminating fresh water lines, some subcombinations are equally performant. For instance, combinations of SDS and acids like mandelic and lactic acids, having a pK of 3.36 and 20 3.79, respectively, destroy a biofilm as efficiently as the above complex formulation. A combination like hydrogen peroxide, SDS and EDTA is also as performant. Another oxidant like peracetic acid is also effective when combined with SDS and EDTA, provided that 25 peracetic acid is stabilized by the presence of hydrogen peroxide. Therefore, it will be appreciated that many combinations will perform as well as the above combinations and subcombinations. The combination of the five compounds listed above is 30 particularly advantageous because it contains bactericides which together attack a wide spectrum of sensitive bacteria. Should a resistant bacterium be discovered in the biofilm, this combination of compounds may be amended to include another effective

bactericide or it may be complemented by the adjunction of such another bactericide.

Suitable decontaminant solutions should contain a detergent able to decrease the surface tension of the biofilm, a denaturing ingredient capable of decreasing the cohesive force existing between microorganisms and between the microorganisms and the surfaces, and a bactericide. A compound like SDS has a dual action as a detergent and as a denaturing ingredient decreasing the cohesive force of components of the biofilm. SDS is indeed a denaturing detergent which attacks the integrity of proteins and of mucopolysaccharides. Compounds like hydrogen peroxide and hydrogen peroxide-stabilized peracetic acid also have a dual action as bactericides and potent oxidants which attack the extracellular matrix. Even though lactic acid is not classified as a bactericide, it shown good antibacterial efficiency. Therefore acids like mandelic and lactic acids may have the capacity of killing bacteria sensitive to each or both bactericides after their release from the biofilm or after the weakening of the integrity or of the compacity of the biofilm. A compound like Betadine™ which has a low pH in solution (about 2) may also have such a role (see the Tween 20™/Betadine™ composition in Table 1). By itself, the combination Tween 20™/Betadine™ is not sufficiently effective. It is however believed that this combination would be efficient if supplemented with a denaturing agent and with other bactericides conferring to the combination a more aggressive dislodging power and a larger bactericidal spectrum. When the SDS detergent is used in combination with a potent oxidant like peracetic acid and/or hydrogen peroxide, these particular combinations need to be complemented by a chelating

agent for maximizing the disintegration of the extracellular matrix. This suggests that the denaturing action of SDS is not sufficient by itself to make the biofilm in a state of sufficient 5 vulnerability for the action of this particular type of bactericides; the chelating agent is necessary in this case. This contrasts with the action of mandelic and lactic acids which do not need the complementation by a chelating agent to be effective in combination 10 with SDS. The mechanism of action of these acids on the biofilm is not elucidated. Their efficient action when combined with SDS may suggest that they more accurately affect the integrity of the extracellular matrix and/or that they affect the integrity of the 15 bacteria, in such a way that they facilitate the action of SDS.

In all cases, the synergistic effect of the effective compositions of the present invention can perhaps be explained as follows. It is known that 20 microorganisms present in a biofilm are generally much more resistant to bactericides than microorganisms present in a aqueous medium. The biofilm is thought to act as a physical barrier through which disinfectant agents fail to penetrate and kill the 25 microorganisms present therein. Consequently, in order to eradicate a maximal number of the microorganisms present in small diameter water lines and particularly in the biofilm coating the inner wall of the small diameter water lines, it is important to simultaneously dislodge the biofilm from the inner 30 walls of the lines so that the bactericide can efficiently attack as many microorganisms as possible. In sequence, the denaturing detergent is thought to help the penetration of the bactericide (and the 35 chelating agent when present), dislodge a layer of

biofilm which becomes suspended in the small diameter water lines where the bactericide can attack the microorganisms present. This process will expose a lower layer of the biofilm and of living 5 microorganisms which will again be dislodged and killed. The dislodging action of the detergent and of the denaturing agent and the antibacterial action of the bactericide are thus improved.

Combinations containing EDTA may 10 precipitate in the presence of acids. Fortunately, compositions containing SDS and mandelic and lactic acids do not need to be supplemented with EDTA. On the other hand, whenever necessary, it might be possible to choose a salt of a chelator which stays in 15 solution at the desired pH. Also, compositions containing anionic detergents like SDS and a quaternary ammonium precipitate, as this is the case for the combination SDS/cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC). A composition containing a nonionic or a 20 cationic detergent and cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC) has not been tried but it is believed that such a composition may be more suitable than the composition SDS/CPC. Of course, the same principles of efficiency 25 of decontamination apply; such a combination may need to be supplemented with a denaturing agent and/or any ingredient affecting the integrity of the extracellular matrix for maximal efficiency. Also, this combination may be complemented with other bactericides to enlarge its host spectrum.

30 The formulation of five ingredients stays in solution even if it contains EDTA. It also stays in solution if CPC and PAA are added. When CPC is present, the presence of a weak acid like peracetic acid appears to help in stabilizing this composition.

These two compounds have been found unnecessary in the present composition.

When the detergent used in the composition produces foam, it might be desirable to add an anti-foamer. Also, a colorant might be added to the compositions of this invention for easy monitoring of the extent of rinsing.

Fresh water lines supplying dental instruments are of a very small diameter, which excludes the possibility of scrubbing. The compositions of the present invention have the advantage of showing efficient decontamination in the complete absence of scrubbing in a convenient time of decontamination. The present invention is not only useful for dental instruments. It will become obvious that it is intended for other applications, e.g. decontaminating any types of tubing or containers on the surface of which microorganisms are adsorbed and form a biofilm. In such other applications, scrubbing or any other mechanical aid is not at all excluded. Should these compositions be used in pipes of a larger diameter and length, for example, wherein a non-cost effective large volume of decontaminating solution would be needed to fill completely these pipes, it is possible that a mechanical action would help in the distribution of the solution. A mechanical aid, when envisaged, can also help in reducing the duration of decontamination. It is further not excluded to add a vehicle allowing the disinfecting solution to stay in contact with the surface to be decontaminated.

It should also be appreciated that more concentrated solutions could be made inasmuch as the components thereof stay in a solubilized state, otherwise some or all of these components might be delivered in separate vials to be admixed in the final

reconstituted volume and proportions of the above effective decontaminating solutions. This could reduce the manutention and storage of large volumes of decontaminating solutions when they are used for disinfecting large surfaces.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The synergistic effect of the compositions of the present invention was demonstrated by the following experiment. Four sections of a small diameter (5 mm) water line, contaminated with a relatively thick biofilm on their inner walls were cut and placed in 5 mL test tubes in different decontaminating solutions.

The test tubes were then left for 18-24 hours at 21°C. Each section was then washed with distilled water and slit longitudinally to expose the biofilm on their inner walls and observed with a binocular microscope or by scanning electromicroscopy. Alternatively, the same procedure was followed on plastic plates coated with bacteria. The solutions that showed a significant eradication of the biofilm are listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1

	Substance*	Biofilm presence**	Remarks***
5	Control	++++	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	++	
	PAA	++	
	SDS	+++	
	EDTA	+++	
10	MA	+	
	LA	+	
	CPC	++	
	GLU	+	
	Solution <sup>a</sup>	0	
15	Solution without PAA	0	PPT
	Tween 20 <sup>TM</sup> + Betadine	++	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + PAA	+++	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + SDS	+	
20	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EDTA	++	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + MA	+-	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + LA	+	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + CPC	++	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + PAA + LA	++	
25	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + PAA + SDS	+-	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + MA + LA	+-	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + MA + LA + SDS	0	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + SDS + EDTA	0	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + PAA + MA	+	
30	SDS + EDTA	+-	
	SDS + EDTA + MA	0	PPT
	SDS + EDTA + CPC	0	PPT
	SDS + EDTA + PAA	+-	
	MA + LA	+-	
35	MA + LA + SDS	0	
	MA + LA + EDTA	+-	PPT
	MA + LA + SDS + EDTA	0	PPT

\* MA = Mandelic acid, LA = Lactic acid, GLU = Glutaraldehyde, Peracetic acid = PAA, Solution = containing all elements listed supra, Control = Tube without treatment, SDS = Sodium dodecyl sulfate, CPC = Cetylpyridinium chloride.

5            \*\* Solutions were tested directly on contaminated tubes. Results are expressed on a scale representing presence of a biofilm; 0= no biofilm, +++++= intact biofilm.

10           \*\*\* The solution precipitates.

15           \* The solution contains the seven ingredients defined as one of the most advantageous mixtures. It may also contain five of the seven ingredients e.g. from which CPC and PAA are absent and SDS concentration is reduced from 2% to 1%.

20           A field test was conducted over a period of two weeks to four months to determine the cleaning and disinfecting efficacy of the compositions of the present invention. The composition was fed to the network of small diameter fresh water lines of a dentist's installation.

25           For comparative purposes, at the end of each work day, all the small diameter water pipes of the dentist's installation were filled with the diverse detergent-disinfectant combinations of the present invention, left overnight and the next morning thoroughly rinsed with water.

30           For each test, during the same work day, three water samples were drawn from various dental instruments, namely, an air/water gun, a high speed water turbine, and an ultrasonic tartar remover. These samples were placed under favorable conditions for microorganism growth. After five to seven days, the microorganism colonies were counted. The results 35           were surprisingly encouraging; after numerous tests on

the various instruments, an almost complete abolition of the microbial counts was obtained for the effective combinations of Table 1, e.g. where the presence of biofilm is registered = 0.

5 The following antiseptics commercially available have been experimented and none of them have shown any efficient decontaminating activity against a biofilm:

10 **BIOVAC™** (0.8% Chlorohexidine, 3.20% EDTA, proteolytic enzymes, a dispersing agent).

**EFFERDENT™** (Potassium monopersulfate, Sodium borate, Sodium lauryl persulfate, Sodium bicarbonate, Magnesium stearate, Simethicone).

15 **POLYDENT™** (Potassium monopersulfate, Tetrasodium pyrophosphate, Sodium bicarbonate, Sodium borate).

**STERISOL™** (Chlorohexidine, Glycerol, 38-F, Alcohol).

**THERASOL™** (C-31G, NaF, Glycerine, Alcohol).

**GLUTARALDEHYDE**

**ALCOHOL 70%**

20 **PATHEX™** (Phenolic)

**SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE 2%.**

25 Apparently, none of these preparations fulfill essential criteria for decontaminating surfaces coated with a biofilm, e.g. a detergent component, a denaturing and matrix-disintegrating component and a bactericide.

30 Although the present invention has been described hereinabove by way of preferred embodiments thereof, these embodiments can be modified at will, within the scope of the appended claims, without departing from the spirit and nature of the subject invention.

**We claim:**

1. A synergistic cleaning and disinfecting composition for use in decontaminating surfaces being  
5 susceptible to contamination by microorganisms and being susceptible to the formation of biofilm coatings thereon, said composition comprising an effective amount of a detergent, an effective amount of a denaturing agent attacking the extracellular matrix  
10 formed between microorganisms and between microorganisms and the surface, both for dislodging said biofilm, and an effective amount of a bactericide for destroying said microorganisms.

15 2. The synergistic composition of claim 1 wherein said detergent and denaturing agent are both sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS).

20 3. The synergistic composition of claim 2 wherein said bactericide is an acidic compound.

25 4. The synergistic composition of claim 3 wherein said acidic compound is selected from the group consisting of lactic acid, mandelic acid and a mixture thereof.

30 5. The synergistic composition of claim 2 wherein said bactericide is an oxidant and SDS is further supplemented with a chelating agent.

6. The synergistic composition of claim 5 wherein said chelating agent is EDTA.

35 7. The synergistic composition of claim 6 wherein said oxidant is selected from the group

consisting of hydrogen peroxide-stabilized peracetic acid and hydrogen peroxide.

5 8. The synergistic composition of claim 4 comprising 2% (w/v) of a mixture of mandelic acid and lactic acid and 2% (w/v) of sodium dodecyl sulfate.

10 9. The synergistic composition of claim 8 comprising 1% mandelic acid, 1% lactic acid and 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate.

15 10. The synergistic composition of claim 6 comprising 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1% EDTA and an effective amount of an oxidant.

11. The synergistic composition of claim 10 wherein said oxidant is 5% hydrogen peroxide.

20 12. The synergistic composition of claim 10 wherein said oxidant is a mixture of 1% peracetic acid and 5% hydrogen peroxide.

25 13. The synergistic composition of claim 1 comprising 2% SDS as an effective amount of a detergent and of a denaturing agent further supplemented with 1% EDTA, and a mixture of 5% hydrogen peroxide, 1% peracetic acid, 1% mandelic acid, 1% lactic acid and 0.1% cetylpyridinium chloride as an effective amount of a bactericide.

30 14. The synergistic composition of claim 1 comprising 1% SDS as an effective amount of a detergent and of a denaturing agent further supplemented with 1% EDTA, and a mixture of 5%

hydrogen peroxide, 1% mandelic acid and 1% lactic acid as an effective amount of a bactericide.

15. The synergistic composition of claim  
5 1 further comprising an anti-foaming agent.

16. The synergistic composition of claim  
1 further comprising a colorant.

10 17. In a method for cleaning and disinfecting surfaces being susceptible to contamination by microorganisms and being susceptible to the formation of biofilm coatings thereon, the steps of:

15 a) contacting said surfaces with a composition according to any one of claims 1 to 17;  
b) allowing said composition to remain in contact with said surfaces at ambient temperature for a sufficient period of time and in conditions such that the  
20 composition remains effective, to clean and disinfect the same; and  
c) rinsing said surfaces.

25 18. In a method for cleaning and disinfecting fresh water lines being susceptible to contamination by microorganisms and being susceptible to the formation of biofilm coatings on the inner walls thereof, the steps of:  
a) draining said water lines;

30 b) filling said water lines with a composition according to any one claims 1 to 17;  
c) leaving said composition in said water lines at ambient temperature for a period of six hours or more;  
d) draining said water lines; and  
35 e) rinsing said water lines.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/CA 5/00731A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 6 A61L2/18 C11D3/48 C11D3/39

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 6 A61L C11D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>PATHOLOGIE BIOLOGIE, vol. 42, no. 5, May 1994, FR, pages 425-431, XP000571312</p> <p>L.F. JACQUELIN ET AL.: "SYNERGIE DE L'ASSOCIATION D'ENZYMES OU DE SURFACTANTS ET D'UN DÉSINFECTANT PHÉNOLIQUE SUR UN BIOFILM BACTÉRIEN" see the whole document</p> <p>---</p> <p>APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY, vol. 48, no. 3, August 1984, pages 395-403, XP002003877</p> <p>C. WHITTAKER ET AL.: "EVALUATION OF CLEANING STRATEGIES FOR REMOVAL OF BIOFILMS FROM REVERSE-OSMOSIS MEMBRANES" see abstract</p> <p>---</p> <p>---</p>	1,2
X		1,2

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- \*&\* document member of the same patent family

2

Date of the actual completion of the international search

12 June 1996

Date of mailing of the international search report

18.06.96

Name and mailing address of the ISA  
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

ESPINOSA, M

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/CA 95/00731

C(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO,A,92 20228 (STERILEX CORPORATION) 26 November 1992 see page 11, line 3 - line 18; claims 23-25 ---	1
Y	WO,A,92 13807 (BUCKMAN LABORATORIES INT.) 20 August 1992 see claims ---	1-18
P,Y	WO,A,95 20366 (PREVOST A. ET AL.) 3 August 1995 see the whole document ---	1-18
A	EP,A,0 313 527 (CASTELLINI S.P.A.) 26 April 1989 see claims ---	1-18
A	US,A,4 448 750 (MICHAEL L. FUESTING) 15 May 1984 see table 1 ---	1-18
A	EP,A,0 109 279 (SURGIKOS, INC.) 23 May 1984 see claims ---	1-18
A	MICROBIOLOGY, vol. 140, no. 11, November 1994, pages 2999-3006, XP000571317 J.R. MARCHESI ET AL.: "SDS-DEGRADING BACTERIA ATTACH TO RIVERINE SEDIMENT IN RESPONSE TO THE SURFACTANT OR ITS PRIMARY BIODEGRADATION PRODUCT DODECAN-1-OL" see abstract ---	1
A	JOURNAL OF FOOD PROTECTION, vol. 56, no. 9, September 1993, pages 750-758, XP000571367 AMY B. RONNER ET AL.: "BIOFILM DEVELOPMENT AND SANITIZER INACTIVATION OF LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES AND SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM ON STAINLESS STEEL AND BUNA-N RUBBER" see abstract -----	1
2		

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/CN/5/00731

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO-A-9220228	26-11-92	US-A- 5320805 AU-B- 1897192		14-06-94 30-12-92
WO-A-9213807	20-08-92	AT-T- 126181 AU-B- 648881 AU-B- 1370292 CA-A- 2080373 DE-D- 69203992 DE-T- 69203992 EP-A- 0525166 ES-T- 2078738 US-A- 5411666		15-08-95 05-05-94 07-09-92 13-08-92 14-09-95 21-03-96 03-02-93 16-12-95 02-05-95
WO-A-9520366	03-08-95	AU-B- 1379195 CA-A- 2170534		15-08-95 03-08-95
EP-A-313527	26-04-89	JP-A- 1146553		08-06-89
US-A-4448750	15-05-84	NONE		
EP-A-109279	23-05-84	US-A- 4477438 AU-B- 554472 AU-B- 2118783 CA-A- 1210327 DE-A- 3377066		16-10-84 21-08-86 17-05-84 26-08-86 21-07-88